

Chambers

GLOBAL PRACTICE GUIDE

Definitive global law guides offering
comparative analysis from top-ranked lawyers

Merger Control

Switzerland: Law & Practice

Marcel Dietrich, Franz Hoffet and Richard Stäuber
Homburger AG

[chambers.com](https://www.chambers.com)

2020

SWITZERLAND

Law and Practice

Contributed by:

Marcel Dietrich, Franz Hoffet and Richard Stäuber

Homburger AG see p.12



Contents

1. Legislation and Enforcing Authorities	p.4	3.8 Review Process	p.7
1.1 Merger Control Legislation	p.4	3.9 Pre-notification Discussions with Authorities	p.7
1.2 Legislation Relating to Particular Sectors	p.4	3.10 Requests for Information During Review Process	p.7
1.3 Enforcement Authorities	p.4	3.11 Accelerated Procedure	p.7
2. Jurisdiction	p.4	4. Substance of the Review	p.8
2.1 Notification	p.4	4.1 Substantive Test	p.8
2.2 Failure to Notify	p.4	4.2 Markets Affected by a Transaction	p.8
2.3 Types of Transactions	p.4	4.3 Reliance on Case Law	p.8
2.4 Definition of “Control”	p.4	4.4 Competition Concerns	p.8
2.5 Jurisdictional Thresholds	p.5	4.5 Economic Efficiencies	p.8
2.6 Calculations of Jurisdictional Thresholds	p.5	4.6 Non-competition Issues	p.8
2.7 Businesses/Corporate Entities Relevant for the Calculation of Jurisdictional Thresholds	p.5	4.7 Special Consideration for Joint Ventures	p.8
2.8 Foreign-to-Foreign Transactions	p.5	5. Decision: Prohibitions and Remedies	p.8
2.9 Market Share Jurisdictional Threshold	p.5	5.1 Authorities’ Ability to Prohibit or Interfere with Transactions	p.8
2.10 Joint Ventures	p.5	5.2 Parties’ Ability to Negotiate Remedies	p.9
2.11 Power of Authorities to Investigate a Transaction	p.6	5.3 Legal Standard	p.9
2.12 Requirement for Clearance Before Implementation	p.6	5.4 Typical Remedies	p.9
2.13 Penalties for the Implementation of a Transaction Before Clearance	p.6	5.5 Negotiating Remedies with Authorities	p.9
2.14 Exceptions to Suspensive Effect	p.6	5.6 Conditions and Timing for Divestitures	p.9
2.15 Circumstances Where Implementation Before Clearance is Permitted	p.6	5.7 Issuance of Decisions	p.9
3. Procedure: Notification to Clearance	p.6	5.8 Prohibitions and Remedies for Foreign-to-Foreign Transactions	p.9
3.1 Deadlines for Notification	p.6	6. Ancillary Restraints and Related Transactions	p.9
3.2 Type of Agreement Required Prior to Notification	p.6	6.1 Clearance Decisions and Separate Notifications	p.9
3.3 Filing Fees	p.6	7. Third-Party Rights, Confidentiality and Cross-border Co-operation	p.10
3.4 Parties Responsible for Filing	p.6	7.1 Third-Party Rights	p.10
3.5 Information Included in a Filing	p.7	7.2 Contacting Third Parties	p.10
3.6 Penalties/Consequences of Incomplete Notification	p.7	7.3 Confidentiality	p.10
3.7 Penalties/Consequences of Inaccurate or Misleading Information	p.7	7.4 Co-operation with Other Jurisdictions	p.10

SWITZERLAND CONTENTS

8. Appeals and Judicial Review	p.10	9. Recent Developments	p.10
8.1 Access to Appeal and Judicial Review	p.10	9.1 Recent Changes or Impending Legislation	p.10
8.2 Typical Timeline for Appeals	p.10	9.2 Recent Enforcement Record	p.10
8.3 Ability of Third Parties to Appeal Clearance Decisions	p.10	9.3 Current Competition Concerns	p.11
		9.4 COVID-19	p.11

1. Legislation and Enforcing Authorities

1.1 Merger Control Legislation

Swiss merger control is governed by the Federal Act on Cartels and other Restraints of Competition (Cartel Act) and the Ordinance on the Control of Concentrations of Undertakings (Merger Control Ordinance, MCO).

In addition, the Swiss Competition Commission (ComCo) and its Secretariat have published communications and guidelines on the application of the relevant merger control provisions.

1.2 Legislation Relating to Particular Sectors

There is currently no general foreign investment control regime in force in Switzerland. Special requirements apply in certain sectors where the conduct of business requires prior authorisation (in particular in sectors that were formerly served by public monopolies), such as telecommunications, broadcasting and airline transport services. Furthermore, the acquisition of a real estate company (a company with the primary purpose of holding real estate) in Switzerland may require a permit from the competent cantonal authority under the Federal Act on the Acquisition of Real Estate by Foreign Persons.

This legislative framework may change, however. In March 2020, the Swiss parliament asked the Federal Council (Switzerland's executive body) to propose foreign investment control legislation, aimed in particular at protecting Swiss know-how, employment, public order and safety. The Federal Council now has to propose such regime for further review in the parliament within two years.

1.3 Enforcement Authorities

Swiss merger control law is enforced by the ComCo and the Secretariat. The ComCo consists of 11 to 15 members (currently 12), elected by the Federal Council, and is the decision-taking body. The Secretariat conducts investigations, prepares the decisions of the ComCo and, together with one member of the presiding body of ComCo, issues the necessary procedural rulings.

The total headcount of the Secretariat amounted to 68 employees (60.9 FTE), as per the end of 2018 (most recent report available). The Secretariat is split into four departments responsible for product markets, services, infrastructure and construction; a fifth department, resources and logistics, provides administrative and technical services within the Secretariat.

In the banking sector, the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA) may intervene if it considers that the concentration risks impairing the interests of creditors. In such case,

the FINMA takes the place of ComCo, which it shall invite to submit an opinion.

2. Jurisdiction

2.1 Notification

Notification is compulsory if the relevant turnover thresholds are exceeded or if an undertaking concerned has been held to be dominant in a relevant market in a final and binding decision (see **2.5 Jurisdictional Thresholds**). There are no exceptions to this regime.

2.2 Failure to Notify

If a notifiable concentration is implemented without prior notification, the undertaking that was obliged to notify may be fined with up to CHF1 million. In such case, the ComCo may investigate the concentration ex officio and impose any necessary remedies. In addition, the responsible individual person(s) may be fined with up to CHF20,000.

There have been several cases where undertakings have been fined for failing to notify. These fines are made public. So far, no individuals have been fined.

If a notifiable concentration is not notified, its legal effect under civil law is suspended (ie, the closing is null and void).

2.3 Types of Transactions

The following transactions constitute concentrations subject to merger control:

- merger of two or more previously independent undertakings;
- any transaction, in particular the acquisition of an equity interest or the conclusion of an agreement, by which one or more undertakings acquire direct or indirect control of one or more previously independent undertakings or parts thereof.

2.4 Definition of “Control”

Control is understood under Swiss merger control as the ability to exercise a decisive influence over the activities of another undertaking by the acquisition of rights over shares or by any other means. It is irrelevant whether control is acquired directly or indirectly, de jure or de facto. The means of obtaining control may in particular involve the acquisition of the following, either individually or in combination:

- ownership rights or rights to use all or parts of the assets of an undertaking; and/or

- rights or agreements which confer a decisive influence on the composition, deliberations or decisions of the organs of an undertaking.

The acquisition of minority or other interests that do not confer control are not notifiable in Switzerland. However, such acquisition may be reviewed as a potentially anticompetitive agreement. According to the ComCo, an acquisition may constitute an anticompetitive agreement if the parties intend to co-operate.

2.5 Jurisdictional Thresholds

Swiss merger control in the first instance applies a turnover test. A concentration is notifiable if two turnover thresholds are cumulatively met: in the financial year preceding the concentration, the undertakings concerned together reported a turnover of at least CHF2 billion or a turnover of at least CHF500 million, and at least two of the undertakings concerned reported a turnover in Switzerland of at least CHF100 million. Compared to international standards, these turnover thresholds are relatively high. Undertakings concerned are, in case of a merger, the merging parties and, in case of an acquisition of control, the acquiring and the acquired undertaking (ie, excluding the seller).

In addition, notification of a concentration is mandatory – irrespective of the turnover achieved – if one of the undertakings concerned (acquirer and target, but excluding the seller) has in a final and non-appealable decision been held to be dominant in a market in Switzerland, and if the concentration concerns either that market, an adjacent market or a market upstream or downstream thereof. For this threshold to be applicable, dominance needs to be determined in the binding part of the decision – ie, the notification obligation is not triggered if an undertaking is only held to be dominant in the reasoning of a decision.

2.6 Calculations of Jurisdictional Thresholds

Turnover is calculated on a consolidated basis (excluding intra-group sales). Turnover is geographically allocated to the place where competition for the relevant customer has taken place, which normally is the domicile of the customer. If the parties to the concentration make no sales to customers in Switzerland, but merely the invoicing is carried out via billing addresses in Switzerland for transaction taking place outside of Switzerland, such turnover is not considered to be achieved in Switzerland.

In the case of insurance companies, “turnover” is replaced by “annual gross insurance premium income”, and in the case of banks and other financial intermediaries by “gross income”.

Sales booked in a foreign currency shall be converted into Swiss francs in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles applicable in Switzerland. In practice, the average yearly

exchange rates published by the Federal Tax Administration are regularly used to convert foreign currencies.

2.7 Businesses/Corporate Entities Relevant for the Calculation of Jurisdictional Thresholds

The turnover of an undertaking concerned comprises the turnover of the entire group – ie, the turnover of its subsidiaries, parent companies, sister companies and joint venture companies, but excluding intra-group sales. The seller’s turnover need not be included with that of the target. The turnover of a joint venture that is jointly controlled by undertakings concerned shall be apportioned among those undertakings in equal parts (again, excluding any intra-group sales).

Changes in the business during the reference period are reflected similarly as under EU competition law. The turnover of a business divested in the financial year preceding the concentration must be fully subtracted, and the turnover of acquired businesses fully added.

2.8 Foreign-to-Foreign Transactions

Foreign-to-foreign transactions are subject to merger control in Switzerland if the relevant thresholds are met. According to the Federal Supreme Court, the fact that the thresholds are met in a certain case sufficiently indicates local effects.

An exception applies to foreign joint ventures. The Secretariat has published a notice according to which it does not consider the establishment of a joint venture in Switzerland notifiable (even if the turnover thresholds are met by the joint venture’s parent companies) if the joint venture does not have any activities in Switzerland and such activities are neither planned nor foreseeable.

2.9 Market Share Jurisdictional Threshold

Jurisdictional thresholds in Switzerland are in the first instance turnover-based. The additional notification obligation based on one party’s confirmed dominance (see **2.5 Jurisdictional Thresholds**) requires that the concentration concerns either that market or an adjacent market or a market upstream or downstream thereof. Therefore, confirmed dominance of one party is in itself not sufficient to trigger a notification obligation.

Conversely, it is also not required that there is a substantive overlap in that market where one party is dominant for this threshold to be met, but it is sufficient that the transaction has a competitive relation to such market.

2.10 Joint Ventures

Three types of joint ventures are subject to merger control:

- the acquisition of joint control over an existing joint venture constitutes a concentration if the joint venture performs all the functions of an autonomous economic entity on a lasting basis;
- the creation of a new joint venture constitutes a concentration if the joint venture performs all the functions of an autonomous economic entity on a lasting basis and if the business activities from at least one of the controlling undertakings are transferred to the joint venture; and
- the acquisition of joint control over an existing undertaking constitutes a concentration.

2.11 Power of Authorities to Investigate a Transaction

If the jurisdictional thresholds are not met, the ComCo does not have power to investigate a transaction or to impose any corrective measures if a transaction creates or strengthens a dominant position liable to eliminate effective competition.

2.12 Requirement for Clearance Before Implementation

Implementation of a transaction must be suspended prior to clearance.

2.13 Penalties for the Implementation of a Transaction Before Clearance

If a notifiable transaction is implemented before clearance, the undertakings concerned may be fined up to CHF1 million. The responsible individual(s) may in addition be fined up to CHF20,000. These fines are made public. Fines have also been imposed in the case of foreign-to-foreign transactions.

2.14 Exceptions to Suspensive Effect

The parties may request the ComCo to authorise implementation of the concentration prior to the review period. The parties need to show good cause for such implementation in that the concentration could otherwise not be implemented or that third parties may suffer significant harm if implementation is suspended during the review period.

Special rules apply to concentrations of banks that are deemed necessary for reasons of creditor protection. Such concentrations are reviewed by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA) which may allow implementation at any stage of the proceedings.

There are no specific rules for public takeover bids. The ComCo should be contacted in advance in case of such bids in order to allow for co-ordination of their proceedings with the proceedings of the competent takeover board. It is also possible to request authorisation prior to the expiry of the review period in such cases or to propose arrangements on voting rights (see

2.15 Circumstances Where Implementation Before Clearance is Permitted).

2.15 Circumstances Where Implementation Before Clearance is Permitted

To our knowledge, a carve out of affected businesses or assets to allow for closing of global transaction before receipt of clearance in Switzerland has so far not been accepted by ComCo. In particular, in the case of takeover bids, the ComCo has in practice accepted arrangements on the limitation of voting rights during pending merger control proceedings.

3. Procedure: Notification to Clearance

3.1 Deadlines for Notification

There are no specific deadlines for notification. Notification must be submitted prior to the implementation of the concentration, and the concentration must not be implemented prior to clearance (or grant of a derogation from the suspensive effect). Implementation without notification (see 2.2 Failure to Notify) or during pending proceedings (see 2.13 Penalties for the Implementation of a Transaction Before Clearance) may be fined up to CHF1 million.

In addition, the responsible individual(s) may be fined up to CHF20,000.

3.2 Type of Agreement Required Prior to Notification

In principle, a concentration can only be notified once the parties have reached a binding agreement. In practice, the ComCo accepts notifications already at an earlier stage when the parties can document a good faith intent to reach a binding agreement, as expressed in particular in a letter of intention or memorandum of understanding. There has yet to be any cases where a notification has been accepted at a stage where such good faith intention could not be documented in writing.

3.3 Filing Fees

For the Phase I review period a filing fee of CHF5,000 is charged. Usually, the notifying undertaking is asked for payment after expiry of the review period. For a Phase II investigation, the fees are charged based on the time spent by the ComCo and the Secretariat. Hourly rates range from CHF100 to CHF400, depending on the urgency of the matter and the seniority of the respective individuals.

3.4 Parties Responsible for Filing

In case of a merger, both merging parties need to jointly submit the notification. In case of an acquisition of control, the notification obligation is upon the undertaking(s) acquiring control.

If a joint notification is made, the notifying companies have to designate at least one joint representative.

3.5 Information Included in a Filing

The ComCo has published a form for the notification of concentrations. Essentially, the notifying undertaking(s) are required to submit the following information:

- name, domicile and a brief description of the business activities of the undertakings concerned;
- description of the planned concentration, including the goals that are pursued with it;
- turnover, gross premiums or gross income, as the case may be, of the undertakings concerned for Switzerland and worldwide;
- information on the relevant product and geographic markets affected, including market shares of the undertakings concerned and principal competitors for the preceding three years; and
- information regarding market entries in the last five years and excepted market entries as well as the market entry costs.

In addition, copies of the following documents need to be provided:

- most recent annual accounts and reports of the undertakings concerned;
- agreements affecting or related to the transaction;
- in case of a public takeover, offer documentation; and
- report, analyses and business plans made with regard to the concentration, to the extent they contain relevant information for the competitive assessment of the concentration.

The notification form may be submitted in any official Swiss language – ie, German, French or Italian. Accompanying documents may also be submitted in English. There are no requirements for formalisation of submitted documents, such as certification, notarisation or apostillation.

3.6 Penalties/Consequences of Incomplete Notification

There are no penalties for incomplete notifications. However, the review period will only commence once the notification is complete. Within ten days as of submission of the notification, the Secretariat confirms its completeness, or requests additional information.

3.7 Penalties/Consequences of Inaccurate or Misleading Information

An undertaking submitting incorrect or misleading information may be fined up to CHF100,000. In addition, the ComCo may withdraw the clearance decision.

3.8 Review Process

The ComCo is required to notify the undertakings concerned within one month from receipt of the complete notification whether it intends to open an investigation (Phase I). If no such notice is given within that time period, the transaction may be implemented. Regularly, the ComCo provides the companies in such case with a comfort letter that it considers the concentration as unobjectionable.

If the ComCo decides to open an investigation, it must be completed within four months, unless the ComCo is prevented from doing so for reasons attributable to the undertakings concerned (Phase II).

3.9 Pre-notification Discussions with Authorities

The parties can and typically do engage in pre-notification with the Secretariat. The parties submit a draft filing that the Secretariat will review and comment upon with regard to information missing for the notification to be considered complete. In complex transactions, pre-notification is generally welcomed by the Secretariat and highly recommended.

3.10 Requests for Information During Review Process

The Secretariat regularly requests information during the review process. If the request pertains to information that the Secretariat considers required for completeness of the notification, the review period only starts once such information has been submitted. The Secretariat may also request additional information that is not required for completeness of the notification.

The parties are obliged to provide such information within the deadline set by the Secretariat, but the request does not suspend the review period.

3.11 Accelerated Procedure

Prior to the notification of a concentration, the undertakings concerned and the Secretariat may mutually agree on the details of the content of the notification. The Secretariat may grant exemptions from the obligation to submit particular information or documents. In practice, this is relevant in particular for foreign-to-foreign mergers with limited effects on the Swiss market.

4. Substance of the Review

4.1 Substantive Test

The substantive test is based on a dominance test supplemented by an additional test on the remaining degree of competition. According to this “dominance-plus test”, a concentration may only be prohibited, if:

- the transaction creates or strengthens a dominant position;
- that dominant position is liable to eliminate effective competition in the relevant market; and
- the transaction does not strengthen competition in another market outweighing the negative effects of the dominant position.

Compared to other jurisdictions, this threshold is comparatively high. In view of this high threshold, in the last 22 years (the current merger control system was introduced in 1996), only four mergers have been prohibited by the ComCo: Berner Zeitung/Thuner Tagblatt (1998, notification withdrawn prior to formal prohibition); Berner Zeitung/20 Minuten (2004, subsequently cleared upon appeal subject to obligations), France Télécom/Sunrise Communications (2012) and Ticketcorner/Starticket (2017).

It is currently contemplated to replace this dominance-plus test by the SIEC test (significant impediment to effective competition) as applied in the EU. A proposal for an amendment of the relevant provision of the Cartel Act is expected to be published in the autumn of 2020.

4.2 Markets Affected by a Transaction

Markets are considered affected by the transaction if either two or more of the undertakings concerned jointly hold a market share of 20% or more in Switzerland, or in which one of the undertakings concerned holds a market share of 30% or more in Switzerland.

4.3 Reliance on Case Law

The ComCo regularly considers the practice of the European Commission, in particular with regard to market definitions. Furthermore, the case law in neighbouring countries of Switzerland will also be considered, namely the practice of the German Federal Cartel Office.

4.4 Competition Concerns

As mentioned in **4.1 Substantive Test**, the current substantive test in Switzerland is a dominance-plus test. Applying this test, the ComCo investigates unilateral effects, co-ordinated effects in case of oligopolies, conglomerate effects, as well as vertical concerns and the elimination of potential competition.

4.5 Economic Efficiencies

In the past, the ComCo regularly did not consider economic efficiencies as a mitigating factor. In theory, efficiencies may be taken into account if they are likely to prevent the elimination of effective competition.

Further, under the Swiss substantive test, economic efficiency gains in one market may outweigh the effects of the creation or strengthening of a dominant position in another market (see **4.1 Substantive Test**). This part of the test has for a long time not had practical relevance. In a recent case, however, the ComCo has for the first time authorised a concentration (Gateway Basel Nord, 2019) explicitly based on that provision, which indicates an increased role of efficiencies in Swiss merger control law.

4.6 Non-competition Issues

The ComCo does not consider non-competition issues, such as industrial policy, national security, foreign investment, employment or other public interest issues, in its review of planned concentrations. As an exception to that principle, the Cartel Act provides that in a concentration of banks that is deemed necessary by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority for reasons related to creditor protection, the interests of creditors may be given priority (Article 10(3) Cartel Act). In such a case, the Financial Market Supervisory Authority takes the place of the ComCo.

Further, in case of a prohibition of a concentration by the ComCo, the undertakings concerned may request the Federal Council of Switzerland to authorise the concentration for reasons of public interest. In such a case, the Federal Council may take into account both competition-related and non-competition-related considerations in its assessment of the concentration. Up to now, no such authorisation has been granted.

4.7 Special Consideration for Joint Ventures

No specific rules are applicable to joint venture, but they are assessed under the dominance-plus test as well (see **4.1 Substantive Test**).

5. Decision: Prohibitions and Remedies

5.1 Authorities' Ability to Prohibit or Interfere with Transactions

The ComCo may prohibit or interfere with a transaction only if the conditions of the dominance-plus test (see **4.1 Substantive Test**) are met. If the companies do not comply with a prohibition decision, the ComCo may take all necessary steps to restore effective competition. In particular, the ComCo may order the separation of any combined undertakings or the cessation of

the controlling influence. In addition, the ComCo may sanction companies that do not comply with a prohibition decision with a fine of up to CHF1 million.

5.2 Parties' Ability to Negotiate Remedies

A concentration may be cleared subject to certain conditions or obligations. The law does not specify the types of conditions or obligations that may be ordered. In practice, both divestitures and certain behavioural remedies have been implemented, and the scope of these remedies will be discussed by the parties with the ComCo.

In case of international transactions, it is particularly important to co-ordinate the remedies offered with those offered to other competition authorities, in particular the European Commission.

5.3 Legal Standard

The law does not set a standard that remedies must meet to be deemed acceptable.

5.4 Typical Remedies

Both behavioural and structural remedies have been used in practice, and their choice depends on the characteristics of the affected markets and the identified competition concerns. While the ComCo prefers structural undertakings (ie, divestitures), it has shown to be more open to behavioural remedies than the European Commission. Remedies ordered by the ComCo can only take into account competition issues.

5.5 Negotiating Remedies with Authorities

Other than in EU merger control proceedings, there are no procedural provisions under Swiss law as regard to remedies, such as the timing of their negotiations. The most appropriate moment to commence remedy negotiations has to be determined in the individual case.

The ComCo does not depend on the parties to propose remedies, ie, it can order remedies on its own. However, in practice the parties are closely involved in the development of potential remedies.

5.6 Conditions and Timing for Divestitures

Swiss law distinguishes between conditions and obligations: conditions need to be implemented before the concentration is completed, whereas obligations need to be implemented thereafter. In the latter case, according to the practice of ComCo, the remedy must be implemented within a specified period – ie, it is not sufficient for the parties to commit to divest certain assets “as early as possible”.

If remedies are not fully complied with, the ComCo may impose sanctions of up to CHF1 million or, in case of repeated non-compliance, an amount of up to 10% of the overall turnover of the undertakings concerned in Switzerland.

5.7 Issuance of Decisions

At the end of Phase I proceedings (preliminary investigation), the ComCo may issue an order to clear the transaction if conditions and obligations are imposed. Without remedies, the ComCo regularly does not issue a formal order at the end of Phase I, but provides the parties with a comfort letter clearing the transaction. The ComCo cannot prohibit the transaction at the end of a Phase I.

At the end of Phase II proceedings (in-depth investigation), a formal decision is ordered clearing (potentially subject to conditions and/or obligations) or prohibiting the concentration.

5.8 Prohibitions and Remedies for Foreign-to-Foreign Transactions

In 2017, the ComCo issued a prohibition decision (one of only four prohibitions since 1996) regarding the proposed concentration of Ticketcorner and Starticket. There has not been a clearance subject to conditions and/or obligations recently.

6. Ancillary Restraints and Related Transactions

6.1 Clearance Decisions and Separate Notifications

The ComCo only considers ancillary restraints to the extent they are directly related to and necessary for the concentration. Whether these conditions are given is assessed according to criteria that are comparable to the criteria applicable under EU competition law, as set out in the European Commission's Notice on Ancillary Restraints.

However, ancillary restraints that qualify under these criteria are not automatically covered by the clearance of the transaction, but only upon specific request. The ComCo expects the notifying undertaking(s) to specifically describe the ancillary restraints and provide an assessment in the notification as to why they qualify as directly related and necessary to the concentration.

7. Third-Party Rights, Confidentiality and Cross-border Co-operation

7.1 Third-Party Rights

The Secretariat regularly sends out questionnaires to third parties, in particular customers and competitors, to solicit their opinion on a planned concentration and to obtain a better understanding of the market conditions and the competitive environment. These third parties do not have any formal procedural rights. The ComCo is neither obliged to send out questionnaires nor to consider the replies received.

Third parties also do not have legal standing to appeal merger decisions.

7.2 Contacting Third Parties

The Secretariat regularly contacts third parties as a part of its review process by sending out questionnaires. In case remedies are offered, the Secretariat may obtain the assessment of such remedies by market participants (ie, market testing).

7.3 Confidentiality

The fact of the submission of a notification is not made public. Conversely, the decision to open an investigation proceeding (Phase II) and the final decision of the ComCo authorising or prohibiting a concentration are published in the Official Federal Journal. Further, the ComCo regularly publishes the reasoning of its merger decisions in its quarterly journal.

The undertakings concerned may specify what information they consider as business secrets and ask the ComCo to keep such information confidential. In the event of a difference of opinion on whether certain information constitutes a business secret, the ComCo will issue an appealable order.

7.4 Co-operation with Other Jurisdictions

The agreement between the EU and Switzerland concerning the co-operation on the application of their competition law provides a framework for the co-operation between the ComCo and the European Commission. By virtue of this agreement, information may under limited circumstances be shared with the other authority without consent of the undertakings concerned (second generation agreement). In such case, the ComCo has to notify the undertaking concerned and invite it to state its views before transmitting the data to the European Commission.

With regard to other authorities, such exchange of information is only possible with the consent of the parties. Typically, the ComCo will then request a waiver letter from the undertakings concerned.

8. Appeals and Judicial Review

8.1 Access to Appeal and Judicial Review

Decisions of the ComCo in merger control cases are subject to an appeal to the Federal Administrative Court. The Federal Administrative Court has full jurisdiction to review the ComCo's findings of fact, legal assessment and sanctions/penalties, under all aspects of fact and law.

The judgment of the Federal Administrative Court may be appealed to the Federal Supreme Court. The Federal Supreme Court can review the judgment only with respect to its conformity with the law. It is bound by the facts that have been established before the Federal Administrative Court, unless they are manifestly incorrect or have been determined in violation of legal provisions.

8.2 Typical Timeline for Appeals

An appeal to the Federal Administrative Court needs to be filed within 30 days of formal notification of the ComCo's decision. The duration of the appeals proceedings vary, but regularly amount to significantly more than a year.

An appeal to the Federal Supreme Court needs to be filed within 30 days as of receipt of the formal notification of the judgment of the Federal Administrative Court. The duration of the proceedings regularly amounts to a year or more.

8.3 Ability of Third Parties to Appeal Clearance Decisions

Third parties cannot appeal a clearance decision.

9. Recent Developments

9.1 Recent Changes or Impending Legislation

The Swiss government is currently considering whether the substantive test for assessing mergers should be changed from the dominance-plus test currently in force to the SIEC test, as applied in the EU. It is expected that the Swiss government will submit such a proposal for consideration by the Swiss parliament in the course of 2018.

9.2 Recent Enforcement Record

In 2017, the ComCo issued its fourth prohibition decision in the 22-year history of merger control in Switzerland by prohibiting the proposed merger between Ticketcorner and Starticket, two Swiss ticketing companies that are controlled by Tamedia and Ringier, two Swiss media groups. The two companies are active, among others, in the market for distribution of tickets for events, such as concerts and shows, through physical and online channels (primary ticketing). The ComCo concluded that the

proposed merger would eliminate effective competition in primary ticketing, and strengthen the market position of the two ticket companies.

For lack of feasible remedies, the concentration was prohibited.

9.3 Current Competition Concerns

Given the high threshold for intervention that requires a dominant position liable to exclude effective competition (**4.1 Substantive Test**), single-firm dominance (unilateral effects) is rather rare. Instead, there is a certain focus of the ComCo on collective dominance (co-ordinated effects), where it is assumed that the merged entity may enter into collusive practices together with another company. A full analysis of these effects was recently conducted in a proposed merger in the telecommunications sector (Sunrise/UPC, 2019).

9.4 COVID-19

The Swiss merger control regime has continued to operate in the COVID-19 pandemic. In contrast to other jurisdictions, the ComCo has not explicitly encouraged parties to delay notifications, and in practice has accepted merger filings, both electronically submitted and hard-copies. Merger control review periods have not formally been extended by legislative act.

ComCo itself can only extend the review period if it is prevented from completing its investigation for reasons attributable to the undertakings concerned. Hence, if the parties are able to deliver requested information, ComCo has to conduct its examination within the ordinary review period despite the COVID-19 pandemic.

Homburger AG helps businesses and entrepreneurs master their greatest challenges. The firm combines the know-how, drive and passion of all its specialists to support clients in reaching their goals. Whether Homburger advises clients on transactions, represents them in proceedings or helps them in regulatory matters, it is dedicated to delivering exceptional solutions, no matter the complexity and time constraints. The firm is renowned for pioneering legal work, for uncompromising quality and outstanding work ethics. The competition | regulatory team advises clients on Swiss and EU competition law,

commercial public and administrative law, as well as regulated markets. It represents clients before administrative authorities and courts, as well as in civil litigation. The team is one of Switzerland's finest and largest, and is renowned for broad expertise in all aspects of competition law. Its services are aimed at Swiss and international clients from all industries. The team combines the firm's know-how in all areas of commercial public and administrative law of relevance to clients. It has broad experience advising clients on regulatory frameworks in regulated markets in Switzerland.

Authors



Marcel Dietrich is the head of Homburger's competition | regulatory team. His practice focuses on Swiss and European competition and antitrust law as well as on commercial public and administrative law and regulated markets.

He has extensive and long-standing experience in all areas of competition law, ranging from merger control to administrative and civil antitrust litigation. He also advises on compliance matters and internal investigations. With regard to regulated markets, he specialises in energy, healthcare and pharma, media and telecommunications, infrastructure and transport as well as in public procurement. He is a member of various national and international competition law associations. He regularly publishes and lectures on various subjects of competition law, and commercial public and administrative law.



Richard Stäuber is a partner in Homburger's competition | regulatory team, focusing on Swiss and European competition and antitrust law. He has broad experience in representing businesses in all areas of competition law before authorities and courts, including

cartel and abuse of dominance proceedings, national and international merger control procedures, dawn raids and leniency proceedings. He further regularly advises clients on distribution arrangements, cooperation projects and license agreements. His areas of expertise also include regulated markets, in particular the healthcare and telecommunications sectors, as well as administrative law. With a background in both law and economics and an active member in Swiss and international professional associations, he publishes and lectures in his areas of specialisation.



Franz Hoffet is a partner in Homburger's competition | regulatory team. He has extensive experience in all areas of Swiss and European competition law, ranging from merger control to administrative and civil antitrust litigation. He has represented a wide range of companies and trade

associations in proceedings before the Swiss competition authorities, the European Commission and in civil courts and arbitration proceedings. He also advises clients on compliance matters of Swiss and European competition law. Other areas of practice include regulated markets, in particular, health and pharma, telecommunications and media, energy, infrastructure and transport, and international trade and public procurement. He is the chairman of the Swiss Bar Association's committee on competition law and a member of a number of international and national competition law associations. He regularly publishes and lectures on competition law topics and is the co-editor and co-author of a leading treatise on Swiss competition law.

Homburger AG

Prime Tower
Hardstrasse 201
8005 Zurich
Switzerland

Tel: +41 432 221 000
Fax: +41 432 221 500
Email: lawyers@homburger.ch
Web: www.homburger.ch

Homburger